

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/730,224	12/05/2000	Kim Betros	YOR920000502US1	9162
7590 06/17/2004 CANTOR COLBUM, LLP. 55 GRIFFIN ROAD SOUTH BLOOMFIELD, CT 06002			EXAMINER	
		RIMELL, SAMUEL G		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2175	13

DATE MAILED: 06/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·:						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/730,224	BETROS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
<u> </u>	Sam Rimell	2175				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a result of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated and the period for reply will, by stated and the period for reply will be stated and th	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of the od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC tute, cause the application to become A	a reply be timely filed sirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17,21-39 and 43-45</u> is/are rejected 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>18-20, 40-42</u> is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeya ection is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in a riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage				
		SAM RIMELL PRIMARY EXAMINER				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2175

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-6, 10, 16-17, 21-28, 32, 38-39, 43, 44 and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Win et al. (U.S. Patent 6,453,353).

Claim 1: Reference is made to FIG. 1 of Win et al. A browser (100) issues a request for a document located at a data repository (protected server 104---also see abstract). The browser makes an http request (for example, col. 11, line 38), which is a request for HTML format documents from a server. An access server, operating in communication with a registry server (108) and registry repository (11) determines if the requester is authorized to access the given file. If the requester is authorized to view the document, the document is then translated from its stored format in the server (104) into an HTML page or web page displayed to the user (col. 11, lines 60-64). FIG. 10A illustrates different data formats that are potentially selectable by a document requester once the system administrator has associated specific groups of document selectors (roles) with the data formats (Col. 17, lines 52-67 and col. 18, lines 28-36). Shown in the box 1006 in FIG. 10A are lists of data formats, such as "National Sales Reports", "Inventory Management" and "Order Tracking". The requester can view all of the document formats to which they are assigned.

<u>Claim 2:</u> Any information displayed to a user on an HTML page or web page is readable as graphical information.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/730,224

Art Unit: 2175

<u>Claim 3:</u> The requested document is translated into an HTML page or web page upon the user's request for the document. An HTML page or web page is compatible with a browser.

Claim 4: As seen in FIG. 1, the repository (104) resides behind a firewall (above line 118 in FIG. 3). A metadata directory (110) resides in front of the firewall (below line 118). The structure (110) is considered to be a metadata directory since it contains information about the resources in the data repositories 104 (see col. 12, lines 32-40).

<u>Claim 5:</u> Col.. 13, lines 2-3 refer to an administrative application which can delete or modify any of the records in the metadata directory (110). Deleting or modifying records in the metadata directory would be an updating of those records.

<u>Claim 6:</u> An access control element (108) cooperates in the system to control user access to the documents in repository (104).

<u>Claim 10:</u> An access control system (108) is provided outside of the firewall. The access control system contacts the directory (110) and determines which documents are available to which users. The request which is ultimately passed to the data repository (104) indicates which documents the particular user is allowed to view.

Claim 16: The request for documents located at repository (104) first passes to a staging database (106). The document format determination is made by browser (100), which determines the document format to be an HTML document or web page.

<u>Claim 17:</u> The document passes through staging database (106).

<u>Claim 21:</u> Document requester is provided with a menu (col. 11, line 53-64). This menu indicates which documents are accessible to the user, and reads as a status report on the document fulfillment request.

Art Unit: 2175

<u>Claim 22:</u> The document format is determined by the end user's browser. Merely providing an HTML document on that browser indicates a preference for an HTML format. The format preference for HTML is stored in the browser's code.

Claim 23: See remarks for claim 1.

Claim 24: See remarks for claim 2.

Claim 25: See remarks for claim 3.

Claim 26: See remarks for claim 4.

Claim 27: See remarks for claim 5.

Claim 28: See remarks for claim 6.

Claim 32: See remarks for claim 10.

Claim 38: See remarks fro claim 16.

Claim 39: See remarks for claim 17.

Claim 43: See remarks for claim 21.

Claim 44: See remarks for claim 22.

Claim 45: FIG. 1 of Win et al. discloses an interface element (100), access control logic (106, 108, 110), a translation engine (102) that converts a data stored on server (104) into an HTML or web page document at browser (100), and a storage element (104) that stores document data. FIG. 10A illustrates a box (1006) that constitutes a database of alternative data formats that are potentially displayable to users that are assigned to that format. A given document requester may view all of the data formats to which they are assigned.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2175

Page 5

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 29, 30, 31, 33, 34, 35, 36 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Win et al.

Claim 7: Claim 7 differs from Win et al. in that it recites the forwarding of a translated data file outside of the firewall to a response repository. However, it is well known in the art to forward documents to users, such as by e-mail. For example, the user at browser 100 could forward a received document to another user computer outside the firewall using a conventional e-mail system. The recipient's computer would thus be the response repository. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Win et al. to permit e-mail transmission of documents to computer systems outside of the firewall, as is very well known in the art for permitting document sharing.

<u>Claim 8:</u> Any period of time that the document exists on the e-mail recipient's computer is a predetermined time in which the document resides at a response repository.

<u>Claim 9:</u> Any user at the e-mail recipient's computer is readable as an authorized requester, lacking any details as to exactly how authorization is achieved.

Claim 11: See remarks for claim 7.

Claim 12: See remarks for claim 8.

Claim 13: See remarks for claim 9.

Claim 14: The document translation is considered to be the conversion of the document from its stored format to an HTML page. In the same manner that the browser 100 may be

Art Unit: 2175

configured to translate the document by displaying an HTML page, a browser at an e-mail recipient's computer may also be configured to display an HTML page.

Claim 15: Any display of a document as an HTML page is considered to be a translation of the document. Alternative data formats for the document are shown in the box 1006 in FIG. 10A.

Claim 29: See remarks for claim 7.

Claim 30: See remarks for claim 8.

Claim 31: See remarks for claim 9.

Claim 33: See remarks for claim 7.

Claim 34: See remarks for claim 8.

Claim 35: See remarks for claim 9.

Claim 36: See remarks for claim 14.

Claim 37: See remarks for claim 15.

Claims 18-20 and 40-42 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Remarks

Applicant's arguments have been considered.

With respect to both the rejections under 35 USC 102 and 35 USC 103, applicants arguments are addressed to the newly amended feature set forth in each of the independent claims calling for the presence of a user selected data format and a list of such data formats.

Art Unit: 2175

Page 7

Examiner maintains that this feature is illustrated in FIG. 10A. Shown in FIG. 10A is a box utilized by a systems administrator to assign different data formats to groups of users. Once the formats are assigned to users, the users can retrieve those data formats. The user has access to all of the data formats to which they are assigned.

Accordingly, examiner finds that the listing of selectable data for which are selected by users retrieving documents is a feature that is fully taught by the Win et al. reference.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Sam Rimell at telephone number (703) 306-5626.

Sam Rimell!
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2175